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Commissioner Vera Jourova and distinguished guests,

My role is to give an overview of the relationship between new data protection standards such as the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) and what is being enacted in the rest of the world.

The global diffusion of data privacy laws
The idea of data privacy laws first found fertile soil in Europe in the 1970s, with the first such law in the Lander of Hesse (Germany) in 1970. Almost 50 years later the twenty-first century reality is data privacy laws are now an ever-expanding global phenomena. Five facts tell this story concisely:

(1) 126 countries now have data privacy laws which at least meet the ten minimum standards set by international agreements as early as 1980. The six most recent, enacted in the last twelve months, are from Algeria, St Kitts & Nevis and Cayman Islands, and Niger, Mauritania and Guinea-Conakry.

![126 Countries with data privacy Laws (to June 2018)](image)
(2) 60% of these laws (75) are from outside Europe and 75% outside the EU (& EEA). European laws have been in the minority since 2015, and will increasingly be in the minority because all 47 countries in the Council of Europe now have such laws.

(3) 45 of the 126 laws have been enacted this decade, an average of 5 new laws per annum. Growth is not slowing down.

(4) At least 34 further countries have official Bills in the legislative process. By next decade there will be few countries in the world without such laws.

(5) Many countries are now enacting, or have already enacted, new laws to strengthen their original DP laws, not only in the EU, but in every corner of the world where the GDPR is having an impact as the recognized ‘gold standard’ of data protection.

Important recently updated laws, and Bills likely to be enacted soon, include those from Tunisia, Thailand, Indonesia, Chile, Brazil, Canada and New Zealand. Benin, whose 2017 updated law is almost a replica of the GDPR, should get the prize for the most successful GDPR ‘early adopter’.

**Global convergence on higher standards**

It is remarkable that this global profusion of laws has not led to chaotic differences in standards: in fact, it has resulted in a high level of global convergence of standards. First, all of these 126 laws share the 10 core elements established in 1980 by the original version of Council of Europe Convention 108 and the OECD’s privacy Guidelines.

However, the convergence goes much further. The EU Directive of 1995 marked a second stage in the evolution of data privacy laws. In the two decades since, there has been a remarkable degree of global convergence around those higher standards. This has not involved a wholesale adoption of all 10 distinctive principles in the Directive, but widespread enactment of those principles regarded as most important and desirable by particular countries. My estimate is that the average enactment across all 75 non-European laws is at least 7/10 of those principles. Within European jurisdictions it is closer to 10/10.
In the 20 highest GDP countries from the 75 outside Europe, there is an enactment on average of 6/10 of the Directive’s principles. Therefore, we can conclude that data protection laws outside Europe already converge on more than ½ of the higher standards that have been required in Europe since the 1990s.

There is a lower standard but it is a failure. The APEC Framework and its Cross-border Privacy Rules (CBPRs), and the OECD Guidelines from which they derive, are largely frozen in 1980. There are no APEC member countries whose national laws are as low as APEC’s own low standards. Companies are also unable to find a business case for CBPRs certification, with no Japanese companies, and only 20 US companies, becoming certified. [I note that Microsoft is not one of those US companies.]

GDPR: Already influencing a higher level of legislative convergence
The GDPR adds many important new principles. There is already a surprisingly high amount of enactment of such principles outside Europe, influenced by the GDPR’s development since 2011. Early examples just from Asia included Malaysia (data portability); Korea (4% administrative fines); Indonesia (‘right to be forgotten’); and mandatory data breach notification (DBN) in six countries.

An incomplete study, by me and Prof Bertil Cottier, of over 30 countries outside Europe (in Africa, Asia and elsewhere), shows the following ‘GDPR principles’ enacted by at least 10 countries:

- DPAs enabled to make binding decisions and issue administrative sanctions including fines;
- Right to object to processing based on controller or public interests;
- Data breach notification to DPA and to data subjects (also adopted in the US);
- Stronger consent requirements;
- ‘Sensitive data’ to include biometrics and/or genetic data;
- Mandatory Data Protection Officers (DPOs) for some processing.

All other new GDPR principles had at least one, and as many as nine enactments. These include the right to be forgotten, data portability, and privacy by design and default.

Bills still being enacted show high GDPR influences (including Thailand, Indonesia, Tunisia, Algeria and Brazil). Thailand’s Cabinet this week adopted a heavily GDPR-influenced Bill.

‘GDPR creep’: voluntary convergence by businesses
The GDPR’s influence is not only through local legislative enactments, or through its extra-territorial application. Companies outside Europe are adopting ‘GDPR compliance’ across their whole business operations, even though there is no legal obligation to do so. This has two forms: Vertical (where multi-national companies with some EU operations want global consistency); and Horizontal (in business-to-business (B2B) arrangements where companies want their suppliers to be ‘GDPR-compliant.’). This extra-legislative ‘GDPR-creep’ may be just as significant as legislative adoption. Microsoft exemplifies such voluntary adoption of GDPR.

Convergence on a global treaty: Data Protection Convention 108
Although it originated from the Council of Europe, since 2011 data protection Convention 108 is steadily being globalised. In addition to its 47 European parties, four countries outside Europe are now Parties: Uruguay, Mauritius, Senegal, and Tunisia. Five more countries have had Accession requests accepted: Morocco, Cape Verde, Argentina, Mexico, and Burkina
Faso. Eleven other countries, or their DPAs, are now Observers on its Consultative Committee.

With 51 Parties, soon to be 56, it is time to rename the Convention as the ‘Global Data Protection Convention 108’. As well as being ‘globalised’, last week (18 May) the ‘Modernisation’ of Convention 108 was finalized. The new version of the Convention, now open to accession by existing and new Parties, includes many but not all of the GDPR’s new elements. This ‘GDPR lite’ Convention may approximate what the next decade’s global convergence will look like.

Potential impediments to adoption of high global standards

There are two potential legal impediments to convergence on higher global standards. First, countries may make commitments to regional agreements requiring lower standards, including to allow data exports, and then legislate to implement them. This can make it difficult if not impossible to achieve compatibility with higher standards such as the GDPR or Convention 108. APEC-CBPRs is the clearest example. Care is needed.

Second, Free Trade Agreements may place stronger prohibitions on personal data export restrictions than does the global GATS agreement, creating clashing standards. The APEC-focused ‘Comprehensive and Progressing Trans-Pacific Partnership’ (CPTPP) may do this.
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GDPR EVENT – 25 MAY 2018, 14:00-18:00
Residence Palace, Polak Room
Rue de la Loi 155, 1040 Brussels

Welcome coffee [13:30-14:15]

KEYNOTE SPEECHES [14:15-14:45]

- Ms Věra Jourová, Commissioner for Justice, Consumers and Gender equality
- Ms Andrea Jelinek, Head of Austria’s data protection authority and Chair of the Article 29 Working Party

PANEL 1 – DATA SUBJECT RIGHTS [14:45-15:45]

- Mr Felix Braz, Justice Minister of Luxembourg (to be confirmed)
- Mr Michael O’Flaherty, Director of the EU Agency for Fundamental Rights
- Ms Monique Goyens, Director General of the European Consumer Organisation (BEUC)

PANEL 2 - GDPR AND INNOVATION [15:45-16:45]

- Mr Antoine Jouteau, CEO of leboncoin (Paris)
- Mr Olivier Simonis, Co-founder & Managing Director of Qualifio (Louvain-la-Neuve)
- Ms Anna Pouliou, Head of Privacy at Chanel (Paris)

Coffee break [16:45-17:00]

PANEL 3 - THE GDPR IN THE GLOBAL CONTEXT [17:00-18:00]

- Mr Brad Smith, President and Chief Legal Officer at Microsoft (Redmond)
- Ms Wafa Ben-Hassine, MENA Policy Counsel at Access Now (Tunis)
- Mr Graham Greenleaf, Professor of Law & Information Systems, University of New South Wales (Sydney)
Privacy in the digital age: addressing common challenges, seizing opportunities

Friday 25 May 2018 • Reception to follow
The Lalit Hotel, Barakhamba Avenue, Connaught Place, New Delhi, India

9:00am Registration and welcome coffee

9:20am Welcome Vera Jourová, EU Commissioner for Justice and Consumers (by video)

9:30am Opening remarks

Justice B.N. Srikrishna, chair of the Committee of Experts on a Data Protection Framework for India
Ajay Prakash Sawhney, Secretary, Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology (TBC)
Tomasz Kozlowski, Ambassador of the European Union to India

10:00am Panel 1 - Setting the scene: India at the crossroads

Rajeev Chandrasekhar, Member of Parliament (TBC)
Vinayak Godse, Senior Director – Data Protection, Data Security Council of India
Raman Jit Singh Chima, Policy Director, Access Now, India
Amba Kak, Public Policy Manager, Mozilla, India

Moderator: Sunil Abraham, Executive Director, Centre for Internet and Society, India

11:00am Coffee break

11:15am Panel 2 - Modern data protection laws: towards global convergence

Ralf Sauer, Deputy Head of Unit, International data flows and protection, European Commission, Brussels
Malavika Jayaram, Executive Director, Digital Asia Hub, Hong Kong
Graham Greenleaf, Professor of Law & Information Systems, University of New South Wales, Australia (by video)

Moderator: Claireße Girot, Data Privacy Project Lead, Asian Business Law Institute, Singapore

12:15pm Panel 3 - Privacy and data security: a business opportunity

Srinivas Poorsarla, Vice President and Head (Global), Privacy and Data Protection, Infosys, India
Ravi Sogi, Head - Product Security and Privacy, Philips
Riccardo Masucci, Global Director of Privacy Policy, Intel, Washington DC

Moderator: Ralf Sauer, Deputy Head of Unit, International data flows and protection, European Commission, Brussels

1:15pm Reception